CONSEIL DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH 6th March 1997

NOTICE AC/141(NG/1)N/11

NATO NAVAL ARMAMENTS GROUP

NAVAL GROUP 1 ON ABOVE WATER WARFARE

AGARD REPORT 342 ON PRECISION TERMINAL GUIDANCE FOR MUNITIONS

Note by the Secretary

- 1. Please find attached the Executive Summary, Outline of the Study on Precision Terminal Guidance for Munitions and the list of members of the working group.
- 2. The Study may be helpful for the work of the Specialist Team on Naval Fire Support.

(Signed) E. DRANSFELD

NATO, 1110 Brussels. STEED GOOD TO STEED TO SE

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

-1-

19970326 035

Approved for public releases:

Distribution Unfaulted

AGARD-AR-342

AGARD-AR-342

AGARD

ADVISORY GROUP FOR AEROSPACE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

7 RUE ANCELLE, 92200 NEUILLY-SUR-SEINE, FRANCE

AGARD ADVISORY REPORT 342

Precision Terminal Guidance for Munitions

(le Guidage terminal précis pour les munitions)

This report has been prepared as a summary of the deliberations of Working Group 02 of the Mission Systems Panel of AGARD.



NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

Published February 1997

Distribution and Availability on Back Cover

Precision Terminal Guidance for Munitions(AGARD AR-342)

Executive Summary

Working Group 02 (originally Guidance and Control Panel working Group 13) was approved by the AGARD National Delegates Board in the Spring of 1991, shortly after the Gulf War with Iraq. The effectiveness of the guided munitions used in that conflict emphasised their importance in "conventional" warfare. Following this experience, the lessons learned from military interventions by NATO nations has often yielded less clear-cut results. In spite of these recent events, it seems clear that the future of precision guidance is assured, for reasons similar to those prevailing during the Gulf War, that is, their usefulness as a "force multiplier". This enables them to:

- maximise the effective fire power of launch platforms;
- minimise the number of missions required to carry out a given task;
- reduce collateral damage to non-combattants to a minimum.

The Working Group oriented its aims towards NATO military needs and the review of terminal guidance technology in relation to those needs, using the two following items as a starting point:

- the AGARD planning guidelines, which give a top-down view, derived from the NATO strategic concept;
- an analysis by GCP of the implications of the Gulf War for guidance and control.

The review of terminal guidance technologies was also aimed at identifying new capabilities not currently part of NATO's armoury. Projections into the future often produce speculative concepts that, in the light of deeper study, turn out to be unworkable, unaffordable or even misguided. But new ideas, however impractical at first sight, are the stimulus NATO needs to stay at the forefront of technology advances.

Contents

		Page
Executive Sur	nmary	ü
Synthèse		iv
Working Gro	up Membership	vi
Acronyms and	d Abbreviations	vii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 1.2	Background Content of the Report	. 2
CHAPTER 2	TERMINAL GUIDANCE SYSTEMS	•
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Guidance Concepts Seeker Functions Target Characteristics Seeker Programmes	3 7 12 13
CHAPTER 3	MILITARY NEEDS	17
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	NATO Strategic Needs Operational Experience Future PGM Needs Summary of Needs	17 19 21 24
CHAPTER 4	TECHNOLOGY TRENDS	29
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	Sensors Signal/Data Processing Guidance Laws (Tracking & Homing Processes) Seeker Stabilization Inertial Sensors Global Positioning System (GPS) GPS/INS Integration Communications/Command Links Countermeasures & Counter-Countermeasures Chapter Four Overview	24 25 34 35 47 45 53 54 57
CHAPTER 5	USER CONCERNS	61
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	Mission Planning Identification of Friend or Foe (IFF) Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) Cost - Schedule Environmental Issues Chapter Five Overview	61 65 66 67 73
CHAPTER 6	FUTURE CAPABILITIES	75
6.1 6.2	Global Targeting and Force Projection All-Weather Operation	75 75

	Miniaturized Munitions	76
6.3	Rationalised Inventories and "On-Demand" Munitions	76
6.4		78
6.5	Integral IFF	78
6.6	Unmanned Aircraft	78
6.7	Novel Weapon Concepts	79
6.8	Chapter Six Overview	13
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	81
7.1	Potential to Meet NATO Needs	81
7.2	NATO Cooperation	82
Appendix A — Basic Definitions		85
Appendix B — Terrain Referenced Navigation Appendix C — Countermeasures		
Annendix D	- Relative GPS Techniques	

Members of the Working Group

*Dr Pedro SANZ-ARANGUEZ Profesor Universidad ETS de Ingenieros Aeronauticos Madrid Polytechnical University Pza Cardenal Cisneros, 3 28040 MADRID SPAIN

Dr Steven BUTLER
Technical Director
HQ AFMC/EN
4375 Chidlaw Rd, Ste 6
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433-5006
UNITED STATES

Mr Thierry URING THOMSON-CSF/CNI 160 Bd de Valmy, BP 82 92704 COLOMBES CEDEX FRANCE

Dr George SCHMIDT

555 Technology Square

UNITED STATES

CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory

M/S 2A

Mr S LEEK 8 Sunnyfield HATFIELD, HERTFORDSHIRE AL9 5DX UNITED KINGDOM

Other contributors to the Working Group or to this report are listed below:

Dr Drayton BOOZER Sandia National Laboratories Organization 4400 PO Box 5800 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87185-5800 UNITED STATES

Mr Gonzalo FERNANDEZ DE LA MORA SENER Ingenieria y Sistemas SA C/Severo Ochoa s/n Parque Tecnologico 28760 TRES CANTOS (MADRID) SPAIN

	REPORT DOCU	MENTATION PAGE	
1. Recipient's Reference	2. Originator's Reference	3. Further Reference	4. Security Classification
	AGARD-AR-342	ISBN 92-836-1048-2	of Document UNCLASSIFIED/ UNLIMITED
North	ory Group for Aerospace R Atlantic Treaty Organization Ancelle, 92200 Neuilly-sur	on	
6. Title Precisi	on Terminal Guidance for	Munitions	
7. Presented at/sponsore	d by		,
8. Author(s)/Editor(s)			
8. Author(s)/Editor(s)			9. Date
8. Author(s)/Editor(s) Mult	tiple		9. Date February 1997
Mul	iress		February 1997
Muli 10. Author's/Editor's Add	t There are no restri	ictions on the distribution of the availability of this and of ations is given on the back of	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Mult 10. Author's/Editor's Add Mult	tiple There are no restri Information about unclassified public	the availability of this and cations is given on the back of	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Multi- 10. Author's/Editor's Ado Multi- 12. Distribution Statemen 13. Keywords/Descriptors Precision guided	tiple There are no restriction about unclassified public munitions	the availability of this and cations is given on the back of	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Multi- 10. Author's/Editor's Add Multi- 12. Distribution Statemen 13. Keywords/Descriptors Precision guided Conventional wa	tiple There are no restriction about unclassified public munitions	the availability of this and cations is given on the back of the b	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Multi- 10. Author's/Editor's Add Multi- 12. Distribution Statemen 13. Keywords/Descriptors Precision guided Conventional wa NATO forces	tress tiple There are no restrict Information about unclassified public munitions rfare	the availability of this and cations is given on the back of the b	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Multi- 10. Author's/Editor's Add Multi- 12. Distribution Statemen 13. Keywords/Descriptors Precision guided Conventional wa NATO forces Terminal guidance	tress tiple There are no restrict Information about unclassified public munitions rfare	the availability of this and cations is given on the back of the b	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Multi- 10. Author's/Editor's Add Multi- 12. Distribution Statemen 13. Keywords/Descriptors Precision guided Conventional wa NATO forces Terminal guidance Persian Gulf Wa	tress tiple There are no restrict Information about unclassified public munitions rfare	Terrain Military planning Strategic analyses Requirements International cooperation	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD
Multi- 10. Author's/Editor's Add Multi- 12. Distribution Statemen 13. Keywords/Descriptors Precision guided Conventional wa NATO forces Terminal guidance	t There are no restrict Information about unclassified public munitions refare the restrict of	the availability of this and cations is given on the back of the b	February 1997 11. Pages 132 this document. other AGARD

14. Abstract

This report summarises the deliberations of Working Group 02 of the Mission Systems Panel (originally Working Group 13 of the Guidance and Control Panel) of AGARD. The broad objectives of the Working Group were to review present and future terminal guidance technology in relation to NATO military needs.

The report includes: a review of existing terminal guidance capabilities and shortcomings; an analysis of NATO military needs; reviews of relevant technology trends, user concerns and future capabilities; and a statement of conclusions and recommendations in respect of the potential to meet NATO needs and for NATO cooperation. Appendices provide basic definitions, plus background outline descriptions of terrain referenced navigation, countermeasures, and relative GPS (Global Positioning System).